1 2 3 4 5	BINGHAM MCCUTCHEN LLP Sasha G. Rao (SBN 244303) sasha.rao@bingham.com Brandon H. Stroy (SBN 289090) brandon.stroy@bingham.com 1117 S. California Avenue Palo Alto, California 94304-1106 Telephone: 650.849.4400	COURTLAND L. REICHMAN (SBN: 268873) creichman@mckoolsmith.com MCKOOL SMITH HENNIGAN, P.C. 255 Shoreline Drive, Suite 510 Redwood Shores, CA 94065 Telephone: (650) 394-1400 Facsimile: (650) 394-1422
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	J. C. PENNEY CORPORATION, INC. dlettell@jcp.com DIANE K. LETTELLEIR (pro hac vice) 6501 Legacy Drive M.S. 1111 Plano, TX 75024 Telephone: 972.431.5012 Attorneys for Plaintiff J. C. PENNEY CORPORATION, INC.	KEVIN L. BURGESS (pro hac vice) kburgess@mckoolsmith.com JOHN B. CAMPBELL (pro hac vice) jcampbell@mckoolsmith.com J.R. JOHNSON, II (pro hac vice) jjohnson@mckoolsmith.com JENNIFER A. ALBERT (pro hac vice) jalbert@mckoolsmith.com JOSHUA W. BUDWIN (pro hac vice) jbudwin@mckoolsmith.com MCKOOL SMITH, P.C. 300 W. 6th Street, Suite 1700 Austin, Texas 78701 Telephone: (512)692-8700; Facsimile: (512)692-8744 Attorneys for Defendants EOLAS TECHNOLOGIES INCORPORATED; and THE REGENTS OF
151617		THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
18	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
19	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
20	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
21		
22	J. C. PENNEY CORPORATION, INC.,	No. 3:13-CV-06003-JST
23	Plaintiff,	JOINT STIPULATION AND
24	V.	[PROPOSED] ORDER EXTENDING TIME FOR J. C. PENNEY CORP., INC.
25	EOLAS TECHNOLOGIES	TO RESPOND TO DEFENDANTS' SECOND MOTION TO DISMISS AND TO DEFENDANTS' COUNTED CLAIMS
26	INCORPORATED; and THE REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA	TO DEFENDANTS' COUNTERCLAIMS, AND CONTINUING THE INITIAL CASE
27	Defendants.	MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE
28		

2

1	WHEREAS, on April 18, 2014, the Court continued the Initial Case Management		
2	Conference until June 20, 2014;		
3	WHEREAS, on April 18, 2014, the Court further continued the Initial Case Managemen		
4	Conference until June August 20, 2014;		
5	WHEREAS, on July 8, 2014, Defendants' filed their answer and counterclaims to JCP		
6	complaint, and filed a motion to dismiss counterclaims;		
7	WHEREAS, JCP's response to Defendants' motion to dismiss is currently due July 22		
8	2014;		
9	WHEREAS, Defendants' reply to JCP's response to Defendants' motion to dismiss in		
10	currently due July 29, 2014;		
11	WHEREAS, JCP's response to Defendants' counterclaims is currently due August 1		
12	2014;		
13	NOW THEREFORE the parties through their undersigned counsel hereby stipulate and		
14	request that the Court grant, pursuant to Civil L.R. 6-2 that:		
15	• The time to file JCP's opposition to Defendants' motion to dismiss be extended		
16	until August 19, 2014;		
17	• The time to file the Defendants' reply to JCP's opposition be extended unti		
18	September 9, 2014;		
19	• The hearing for the Defendant's motion to dismiss be noticed for October 9, 2014		
20	or as soon thereafter at the Court's convenience;		
21	The time for JCP to respond to Defendants' counterclaims be extended until		
22	October 24, 2014; and		
23	The Initial Case Management Conference in this action be continued to		
24	Wednesday, December 10, 2014 at 2:00 p.m., or as soon thereafter at the Court's		
25	convenience.		
26	IT IS SO STIPULATED.		
27			

28

1 2 3 Pursuant to Local Rule 5-1(i)(3) regarding signatures, I hereby attest that concurrent in 4 the filing of this document has been obtained for the other signatories in this e-filed document. 5 6 DATED: July 18, 2014 7 McKool Smith Hennigan, P.C. 8 9 By: /s/ Courtland L. Reichman Courtland L. Reichman 10 Attorneys for Defendants **EOLAS TECHNOLOGIES** 11 INCORPORATED AND THE REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF 12 **CALIFORNIA** 13 DATED: July 18, 2014 14 Bingham McCutchen LLP 15 16 By: /s/ Sasha G. Rao 17 Sasha G. Rao Attorneys for Plaintiff 18 J.C. PENNEY CORPORATION 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 4

Case 3:13-cv-06003-JST Document 67 Filed 07/21/14 Page 4 of 5

1 [PROPOSED] ORDER 2 The Court having considered the stipulation of the parties, orders as follows: 3 The time to file JCP's opposition to Defendants' motion to dismiss is extended until 4 August 19, 2014; 5 The time to file the Defendants' reply to JCP's opposition is extended until September 6 9, 2014; 7 The hearing for the Defendant's motion to dismiss is noticed for October 9, 2014, or 8 as soon thereafter at the Court's convenience; and 9 The time for JCP to respond to Defendants' counterclaims is extended until October 24, 2014; 10 11 The Initial Case Management Conference in this action is continued to 12 Wednesday, December 10, 2014 at 2:00 p.m. 13 The parties shall file a Joint Case Management Statement on or before 14 Wednesday, December 3, 2014. 15 PURSUANT TO THE PARTIES' STIPULATION IT IS SO ORDERED. 16 17 IT IS SO ORDERED Dated: <u>July 21</u>, 2014 18 19 Judge Jon S. Tigar 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28